



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

virus does not permeate to the embryo if has been traced to the ovule integuments.—H. C. COWLES.

**Leaf anatomy of *Veronica*.**—The xerophytic, shrubby species of *Veronica* indigenous to New Zealand have long excited the interest of ecologists, and now they have been made the subject of a careful anatomical study by ADAMSON.<sup>13</sup> He investigated 39 species, the material being obtained from plants grown in England, although comparison was made with herbarium material. Most of the species are indigenous to the eastern part of the southern island where the rainfall and temperature are low and the wind high. While these species seem admirably fitted for life in such a climate, they show remarkably little plasticity in cultivation. Six ecological groups are recognized as follows: (1) with the large or elongated, not particularly xerophytic, leaves; (2) with leaves similar in aspect, but thick and leathery; (3) with small, spoon-shaped, somewhat xerophytic leaves; (4) with leaves similar in form, but much more leathery and often glaucous; (5) with leaves much reduced and either small and spreading or appressed and imbricate; (6) with leaves toothed and petioled. The most characteristic xerophytic structural features are reduction of leaf surface and of intercellular spaces and high cutinization. In some of the more xerophytic forms there are curved cuticular expansions arching over the stomata, forming an outer vestibule. Hydathodes, usually more characteristic of hygrophytes, are found in some of these species. In general, the increasing xerophytism noted in the first five groups above is correlated with increasing xerophytism of habitat, culminating in the famous whip-cord species, which show a striking resemblance to certain conifers.—H. C. COWLES.

**Upper cretaceous and eocene plants.**—Only the points of interest to botanists need be considered in this contribution by BERRY.<sup>14</sup> In the cretaceous flora the author describes a number of conifers and angiosperms. In the case of the former, he records his belief that the material identified by HOLICK and described anatomically by the reviewer as species of the recognized mesozoic *Sequoia*, do not in reality belong to this genus. This is a most interesting statement in view of the fact that some of the reviewer's specimens came from and were identified by BERRY himself. Dr. STOPES in her recent continuation of the catalogues of mesozoic plants of the British Museum, being in possession of some of the reviewer's material, admits that it does not belong anatomically to the genus *Sequoia*. There thus arises a very interesting situation indeed. What BERRY systematically identifies as *Sequoia* is according to Dr. STOPES anatomically not *Sequoia* at all. One wonders if the paleobotanists of the Mesozoic will be as slow to admit that they may be deceived by the external

<sup>13</sup> ADAMSON, R. S., On the comparative anatomy of the leaves of certain species of *Veronica*. Jour. Linn. Soc. Bot. 40:247-274. figs. 17. 1912.

<sup>14</sup> BERRY, E. W., The Upper Cretaceous and Eocene floras of South Carolina and Georgia. Professional paper 84, U.S. Geol. Survey. 1915.